

Some economic aspects of sowing

David South

Auburn University



Topics

- Economics of seed efficiency
- Sowing density and seedling cost
- Cover-crop rotation related to seedbed density and nursery income

How do you justify buying
a new Agrilock sprayer and switching from
no-mulch to spraying Agrilock?

- Increase in seed efficiency
(does not work when seed is free)
- Increase in crop value
(does not work when extra trees
are not sold)
- Increased chance of hitting production goals
(does not work if boss thinks a heavy
spring thunderstorm will not occur).


One major reason to have nurseries is to increase seed efficiency!

Seed efficiency


Definition:

plantable seedlings per pure live seed

SEED FACTORS




SEEDLING FACTORS



$$\text{Seed efficiency} = 60\% \quad (6/10)$$

OVERALL



This calculator was used to calculate
Sowing rates needed to produce
25 –50 seedlings/sq.ft.

Desired density = 25/sq.ft.

Germination % = 90%


Purity = 90%

Nursery survival factor = 90%

Seeds per pound = 13,800

How many pounds of seed per
100 feet of bed?

1 pound



One major reason to have nurseries is to increase seed efficiency!

• natural		1/20,000
• direct seeding (untreated)		1/1,000
• direct seeding (treated)		1/100
•Nursery	(1930)	1/3
•Nursery	(1950)	1 / 2
•Nursery	(1970)	2 / 3
•Nursery	(2001)	8 /10
•Nursery	(NZ rooted cutting)	150/1
•Nursery	(tissue culture)	20,000/1

Economic aspects of nursery seed efficiency!

D.B. South (SJAF 1987)

- Free seed (but is it really free?)
 - Collection costs \$20/pound
 - Total costs \$60/pound
 - Market value \$50/pound?
-
- Present net value (improved seed) \$300/pound
 - Market value (controlled crosses NZ) \$2,500/pound

Estimated costs and value of loblolly pine seed from different stages of tree improvement program.

	Woods run	Rogued first generation	Rogued second generation	Rogued third generation
	-----cents/pure live seed-----			
cost of harvest and extraction	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2
cost of harvest, extraction and tree improvement	0.1	0.5	0.5	0.5
Market value	0.125	0.3	0.55	--
present net value of additional wood production*		3.0	5.5	7.5

* as compared to woods run seed

Table 2. -- Seed cost per thousand plantable loblolly pine seedlings by seed orchard yield and nursery seed efficiency.

Orchard yield (lb/A)	Net cost/FLS) (cents)	Seed efficiency					
		60%	66%	75%	83%	90%	95%
		----- Seed cost per thousand seedlings (\$) -----					
10	1.51	25.17	22.88	20.13	18.19	16.77	15.89
20	0.83	13.83	12.57	11.07	10.00	9.22	8.74
30	0.64	10.66	9.70	8.53	7.71	7.11	6.74
40	0.53	8.83	8.03	7.07	6.39	5.89	5.58
75	0.47	7.83	7.12	6.27	5.66	5.22	4.95

Seed Prices

2003 Average Seed Cost per Seedling for Seedling Purchase/Sale

<u>Symbol</u>	<u>Species</u>	<u>Cents per Plantable Seedling</u>	
		B class	A class
Ba	Amabilis Fir	3.61	6.16
Bg	Grand Fir	1.67	
Bl	Subalpine Fir	2.41	
Cwr	Western Red Cedar	0.64	3.05
Fdi	Douglas-fir interior	1.57	
Fdc	Douglas-fir coastal - B class	2.24	
Fdc	Fdc - A class GW 2-6%		8.53
Fdc	Fdc - A class GW >7%		13.14
Hm	Mountain Hemlock	1.27	
Hw	Western Hemlock	0.72	3.42
Lw	Western Larch	1.66	5.12
Plc	Lodgepole Pine Coastal	0.83	
Pli	Lodgepole Pine Interior	1.09	6.01
Pw	White Pine	4.83	15.78
Py	Ponderosa Pine	3.53	
Ss	Sitka spruce	0.53	2.79
Sx	spruces	0.41	3.21
Sxs	Sitka cross	0.38	0.53
Yc	Yellow Cedar	9.92	16.98

Table 4. -- Seed efficiency for small plots at nurseries in 1984 (South and Larsen 1985).

	Date	Total sown density	seedling density	Culls	Seed efficiency*	Seed cost#	NPV
		--#/sq. ft.--		---- % --		\$/M \$/lb	
State	4/25	29.2	27.8	5	92	5.43	276
Industry	4/23	27.9	21.7	22	72	6.96	215
Industry	4/23	27.9	21.0	25	69	7.19	208
State	4/19	24.9	20.6	17	68	7.33	205
State	4/19	23.6	19.0	20	62	7.94	189
State	4/20	21.8	18.2	16	60	8.29	181
State	5/17	17.6	12.6	28	41	11.98	125

* 30.2 full live seed sown per square foot

A cost of 0.5 cent per full live seed

** Assuming a present net value of 3 cents per plantable seedling

$$PV = (PSN/PSO) \times (NSE/OSE)^{-1} \times PV1C \times RA \times BGR \times (VGHS - VGLS)$$

where PV = present value of additional wood obtained by increasing the seed efficiency on one acre of improved seedlings in the nursery

PSN = number of plantable seedling produced per nursery acre

PSO = number of plantable seedling outplanted per acre

OSE = old seed efficiency (expressed as a decimal value)

NSE = new seed efficiency (expressed as a decimal value)

PV1C = present value of 1 cord of wood harvested at the rotation age

RA = rotation age

BGR = base growth rate in cords/acre/year for unimproved seedlings

VGHS = average volume gain of higher performing seedlings (at rotation age)

VGLS = average volume gain of lower performing seedlings

For example, a nursery produces 700,000 plantable seedlings per acre with a nursery seed efficiency of 70%. Seedlings are grown on 30 acres, of which 20 acres are with second-generation seed from a rogued orchard and 10 acres are with seed from a first-generation orchard. The company outplants 550 trees per acre, on a 25-year rotation, and plants on land that produces 1.5 cords/ac/yr (unimproved basis). The company's economist uses a 6% real interest rate and predicts that stumpage values in 25 years will be \$20/cord. The estimated volume gains at harvest from first- and second-generation selections are 12% and 22%, respectively.

The nursery manager uses the following formula to determine how much increase in present value would occur if seed efficiency was increase from 70% to 80%.

$$PV = \$3,177 = (700,000/550) \times ((0.8/0.7)-1) \times \$4.66 \times 25 \times 1.5 \times (.22-.12)$$

Under these conditions, increasing the seed efficiency from 0.70 to 0.80 would increase the present value by \$3,177 for each acre sown with second-generation seed. This means that for 20 acres of second-generation seedlings, the nursery manager could improve the "predicted genetic gain" from the nursery by \$63,540.

How do you justify buying
a new Agrilock sprayer and switching from
no-mulch to spraying Agrilock?


Economics of reducing culls

	1% improvement	2% improvement
18/square ft	\$175/acre	\$350/acre
26/square ft	\$350/acre	\$700/acre

For a 30 million tree nursery a 2% reduction in culls
results in an additional 600,000 trees
or an additional \$24,000/yr (assuming 4 cents/tree)

How do you justify buying
a new Agrilock sprayer and switching from
no-mulch to spraying Agrilock?

BUT...for a 30 million tree nursery a 2% reduction in culls
results in an additional 600,000 trees
or an additional \$600,000/yr (assuming the plant
has a "genetic value" of 10 cents/tree)




35 cents each



Topics

- Economics of seed efficiency
- Sowing density and seedling cost
- Cover-crop rotation related to seedbed density and nursery income

Which density to use?



Half the density does not mean twice the cost!





Fig. 9. An example of the effect of growing density (plantable seedlings plus culls) on cost of producing 1000 plantable *P. palustris* seedlings.

Half the density does not mean twice the cost!



Topics

- Economics of seed efficiency
- Sowing density and seedling cost
- Cover-crop rotation related to seedbed density and nursery income

What effect does sowing rate have on nursery income?

- Assume we drop densities from 26/sq ft to 20/sq ft.
- What does this 23% reduction have on seedling sales?

(assume same price per seedling)

pine



pine



cover crop



cover crop



pine



pine



cover crop




2:2 rotation @ 26/sq ft

2:1 rotation at 20/sq ft

Cover crop rotation effect on seedling production

	2:2 rotation	2:1 rotation
20/square ft	10/sq ft/yr	13.3/sq ft/yr
26/square ft	13/sq ft/yr	17/sq ft/yr



Nursery income need not
be reduced by lowering
seedbed density

Is seed efficiency higher in
container or bareroot nurseries?



Pinus contorta (Lodgepole Pine)

Cone and Seed Production Characteristics

Reproductive cycle	3 years
Cone length (cm)	3-6
Cone bearing age (collectable quantities)	15-20 years
Cones/hectolitre	8 300
Periodicity	2-4 years
Viable seeds/hectolitre of cones	
Coast	176 660
Interior	70 546
Position of cones in crown	Throughout
Ease of cone detachment	Difficult except when frozen
Plantable trees/hectolitre of cones	
Coast	
Bareroot	43 000
Container	25 000
Interior	
Bareroot	29 000
Container	21 000

What is seed efficiency
For Coastal source

Bareroot?

Container?

Pinus contorta (Lodgepole Pine)

Cone and Seed Production Characteristics

Reproductive cycle	3 years
Cone length (cm)	3-6
Cone bearing age (collectable quantities)	15-20 years
Cones/hectolitre	8 300
Periodicity	2-4 years
Viable seeds/hectolitre of cones	
Coast	176 660
Interior	70 546
Position of cones in crown	Throughout
Ease of cone detachment	Difficult except when frozen
Plantable trees/hectolitre of cones	
Coast	
Bareroot	43 000
Container	25 000
Interior	
Bareroot	29 000
Container	21 000

What is seed efficiency
For Coastal source

Bareroot = 24%

Container = 14%

Seed efficiency in container nurseries (South and Young 1995)

Germ%	Seed/cell	Oversow	Seed eff.
100	1	40%	71%
95	1	45%	72%
90	2	30%	45%
85	3	40%	32%
80	4	50%	26%
75	4	60%	28%

Summary

Seed efficiency is very important to the economics of nursery management.

As the value of seed (or plugs) increases, the justification for investing in improving seed/plant efficiency increases.

Cutting seedbed density in half does not double seedling cost.

Nursery economics is affected by both seedbed density and cover-crop rotation.


Ways to improve seed efficiency

- Use good seed
- Stratify properly
- Keep soil infiltration high
- Precision sow
- Sow at low seedbed densities
- Use soil fumigation
- Use a bird repellent
- Use a soil stabilizer
- Sow at correct depth
- Treat with pesticides
- Provide adequate nutrition
- Use proper irrigation

Use good seed



Stratify seed




Precision sow





loblolly pine (Chandler 1992)

Cull percent



Sow at low densities






Figure 1. Cull Percentage and Plantable Seedling Yield in relation to Seedling Bed Density for Trials 1 and 2.




High seedbed densities =
low quality hardwood seedlings



Stoeckeler 1967 USFS Res. Note NC-25

High seedbed densities =
low seed efficiency



Stoeckeler 1967 USFS Res. Note NC-25

Soil fumigation



Increase in seedling production with methyl bromide fumigation

Year	Check	Methyl bromide	Gain per sq ft.	Increase in crop value
1993	16.3	20.0	3.7*	22%*
1994	20.9	22.1	1.2	5.7%
1995	24.5	25.8	1.3	5.3%
1996	17.5	19.4	1.9	10.9%
All 4 tests	20.0	21.8	1.8*	9%*

* significant at the 0.05 level of probability using contrast statements.


Use a bird repellent

The most commonly used seed treatment chemical is thiram. This chemical is both a bird or bird and animal repellent, and a fungicide that has activity against certain seedborne and soilborne pathogens. There are presently no systemic seed treatment compounds registered for use against seed-borne pathogens.

Anthraquinone (Flight Control) is also a bird Repellent.




Sow at correct depth




loblolly pine (Dierauf and Apgar 1989)

Seedlings per square foot




Slash Pine (Rowan 1980)

% Germination at 21 days




loblolly pine (19 weeks) Mitchell 1979
Shoot weight (g/tree)




Sweetgum Lowerts 1982

bulk density (g/cc)




12.3 inches tall

19.5 inches tall



loblolly pine (19 weeks) Mitchell 1979

RCD (mm)




Loblolly pine

Mitchell 1979

Bulk Density	gleying	anaerobic
1.2	no	no
1.4	no	no
1.6	no	no
1.8	no	odor
2.0	yes	odor

Loblolly (Dierauf and Apgar 1988)
% Germination at 34 days



ROOTING DEPTH



Why have state nurseries lost market share?

